

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

				*	MV
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	,	ATTORNEY DOCKET	VO .
08/853,323 05/08/97 TALIEH			Н		
BRINKS WO)EED CILSSA	QM12/0921 , 🗆		EXAMINER	
OP BOX 10395			•	NGUYEN, G	
CHICAGO I	L 60610	and the second of the second o	ART UNIT		BER
	ren.	30.0	372	3	16
		(x,y) = (x,y) + (x,y	DATE MAILED): 09/21/20	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

., . .

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/853,323

Applicant(s)

Talieh et al.

Examiner

Nguyen

Group Art Unit 3723



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 31, 1999	·					
☐ This action is FINAL .						
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for for in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 (
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to e is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions 37 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the					
Disposition of Claims						
	is/are pending in the application.					
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
	is/are rejected.					
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					
Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	Review, PTO-948.					
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	to by the Examiner.					
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐approved ☐disapproved.						
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
\square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ${}^{\prime}$						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).					
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been						
received.						
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)						
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
*Certified copies not received:						
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
Attachment(s)						
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892						
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	s)					
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413						
 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 						
Notice of informal Patent Application, P10-192						
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON TH	E FOLLOWING PAGES					

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Prosecution Application

1. The request filed on July 26, 1999 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 08/853,323 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 32, 34, 36-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. In re Hutchison, 69 USPQ 138.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 08/853,323 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

5.

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 32, 34, and 36-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Hibbard et al.'844 in view of McGarvey'765 and Kircher et al.'743.

With reference to Figs. 1-3, column 5, line 45 bridging to column 6, line 62, column 15,

line 45 bridging to column 16, line 44, Hibbard discloses the claimed invention except for the

backing layer being formed of metal.

McGarvey discloses an abrasive belt. With reference to column 2, lines 12-22, McGarvey

discloses that the backing material may consist of any conventional backing used in abrasive

coated products. The backing material (1) may be glue, resin, or varnish, the choice of which

depends on the desired flexibility and stretch, resistance to heat and other factors which are

determined by the intended use of the finished article and production requirements.

Kircher et al. '743 discloses an abrasive coating article. With reference to Figs. 1-3, the

polishing pad 2 is attached to a metal backing 2, such as steel, brass, copper, or aluminum. The

metal backing provides flexibility, resiliency, and strength, as well as having the property of

preventing warpage in the finished article.

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to have the belt being formed of metal since it was known in the art as taught

Art Unit: 3723

by McGarvey and Kircher that the choice of the metal backing material would provide the desired flexibility, resistance to heat, and strength to prevent warpage in the finished article.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 32, 34, and 36-43 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-0163. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00 AM-3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr.

Scherbel, can be reached at (703) 308-1272. The fax number for this Group is (703) 305-3579.

An inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the

Group receptionist at (703) 308/1148.

George Nguyen

9/20/99